UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/553,613	10/18/2005	Noritaka Kusumoto	MAT-8702US	1982	
23122 RATNERPRES	7590 10/14/201 TIA	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 980	CE DA 10492	LUONG, ALAN H			
VALLEY FOR	UE, PA 19482		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2427		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/14/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Commence		Application	Application No. Applicant(s)					
		10/553,613		KUSUMOTO, NORITAKA				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		ALAN LUON	Э	2427				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	n appears on the co	ver sheet with the c	correspondence ad	ddress			
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seeply received by the Office later than three months after the ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IG DATE OF THIS FR 1.136(a). In no event, on. period will apply and will ex statute, cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2 This action is FINAL . 2b)	<u>29 <i>July</i> 2010</u> . This action is non	final					
′=	<i>'</i> —			secution as to the	e merite is			
J)ا	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1, 4 and 9-12 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1, 4 and 9-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	hdrawn from consi						
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	orrection is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by th	ne Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4)	☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-940 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	8) 5) 6)	Notice of Informal P					

Application/Control Number: 10/553,613 Page 2

Art Unit: 2427

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4, 9-12 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Pub. 2004/0003395 A1 by Srinivas et al.; in view of US Patent 6,563,515 to Reynolds et al., further in view of US Patent 5936611 to Yoshida

Regarding to claims 1: Fig. 1 of Srinivas illustrates a picture receiver (i.e. a set-top box [100] includes the display 112 is preferably a television having both a main display 113 and a picture-in-picture 114 (PIP) display) (Srinivas, ¶0027), comprising: The set-top box [100] includes a receiver [102] for receiving program content, such as video movies, broadcast video programming and a program information accumulating unit (i.e. a memory [110]) for accumulating program information about programming available for the picture receiver to receive; (Srinivas, ¶0025-¶0026),

Application/Control Number: 10/553,613

Art Unit: 2427

The set-top box [100] is connected to a viewing information obtaining unit (i.e. A display [112]) for obtaining viewing information about programming which the picture receiver has received; (Srinivas, ¶0027),

Page 3

The set-top box [100] further includes a program recommending unit (i.e. a recommender) for: 1) selecting program that from among programs available to be received as a recommended program (i.e. the recommendation score is higher than a predetermined threshold will be of interest to the viewer and merit indicating such to the viewer), based on the obtained viewing information and the accumulated program information; (i.e. the recommendation score indicating a likelihood that a particular viewer will approve of the received program content); (Srinivas, ¶0026-¶0028), and 2) determining whether the recommended program is a currently viewed program (i.e. the recommendation score is compared to the predetermined threshold, if the calculated recommendation score exceeds the predetermined threshold; an indication of the received program content is displayed to the viewer in many ways. For instance, the first indication may be a flashing LED, the second may be the flashing LED with an audio beep, the third may be a textual message on the PIP 114 and the fourth may be showing the actual program content on the PIP 114) (Srinivas, ¶0029-¶0030) The set-top box [100] is connected to a picture displaying unit (i.e. A display [112] including a main display 113 and a picture-in-picture 114 (PIP) display as shown in Fig. 2a) for displaying the recommended program (i.e. the received program content has the calculated recommendation score is higher than a predetermined threshold to be displayed in PIP [114]) and the currently viewed program (i.e. the current received

program content is displayed in a main display [113]) simultaneously, responsive to the program recommending unit, when the program recommending unit determines that the recommended program is other than the currently viewed program, (i.e. determined whether the calculated recommendation score is higher than a predetermined threshold which should be set such that any program content received which exceeds such threshold will be of interest to the viewer and merit indicating such to the viewer); (Srinivas, ¶0027-¶0030).

Page 4

However, Srinivas fails to disclose "wherein the picture displaying unit modifies at least one of a position or a size of the currently viewed program;

In an analogous art directed toward a similar problem namely improving the results from wherein the picture displaying unit modifies at least one of a position or a size of the currently viewed program. FIG. 6a, 6b of Reynolds show main display screen 72 is tuned to the currently viewed program (i.e. a program on channel 5) on the left side and program guide video window 80 (i.e. PIP window) is tuned to the recommended program (i.e. a program on channel 11) are displayed on the right side, simultaneously. Program guide video window 80 may be presented on main display screen 72 in various formats; the amount of screen area occupied by the currently viewed program (i.e. current channel 77 (channel 5)) may be reduced so that program guide display 70, current channel 77(modifies a size), and program guide video window 80 are displayed unobscured. (Reynolds, col. 8 lines 23-31 and lines 39-45).

Further more, FIG. 9 of Reynolds illustrates the amount of screen area occupied by the currently viewed program (i.e. current channel 77 (channel 5)) is swapped to on

the right side (modifies a position); may be reduced (modifies a size) so that VOD program guide display 90, current channel 77, and program guide video window 80 are displayed unobscured; (Reynolds, col. 12 lines 33-36) meets the limitation of claim "the picture displaying unit modifies at least one of a position or a size of the currently viewed program". Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the picture displaying unit of Srinivas including at least one of a position or a size of the currently viewed program as taught by Reynolds to allows viewers to simultaneously view video of programs being broadcast on two different channels in PIP mode.

However, Neither Srinivas nor Reynolds teaches the picture displaying unit simultaneously displays a remote controller operation guide with the recommended program and the modified currently viewed program,

In an analogous art directed toward a similar problem namely improving the results from "the picture displaying unit simultaneously displays a remote controller operation guide with the recommended program and the modified currently viewed program". Fig. 7, 8 of Yoshida illustrate the image of the body and the keypad similar to those of the remote control hand unit 10 of Fig. 2, as a remote controller operation guide may be displayed in the picture displaying unit. The image of keypad may reflect the button arrangement of the actual remote control unit 10 where displayed simultaneously in the picture displaying unit.)(Yoshida, Fig. 2, col. 6 line 4 to col. 7 line 38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the picture displaying unit for displaying the

Application/Control Number: 10/553,613

Art Unit: 2427

recommended program and the modified currently viewed program of Srinivas and Reynolds including a remote controller operation guide as taught by Yoshida in order to allow user to control or operate an apparatus he/she wants to use on screen display without looking the manual, (Yoshida, col. 1 lines 44-48).

Page 6

Further, combined with "the remote controller operation guide" of Yoshida, Srinivas teaches "providing an indication (i.e. button [120] to switch PIP to Main) for selecting between the recommended program and the currently viewed program by a remote controller (i.e. control means [116] of Fig. 1)". (Srinivas, ¶0031-¶0032)

Regarding to claim 4: cites a program recommending method, merely repeats the same limitations of a picture receiver in claim 1; therefore, claim 4 is rejected for the same reasons as discussed in claim 1

4. Claims 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivas et al.; Reynolds et al., and Yoshida; in view of US Pub.2008/0184293 by Yuen et al.

Regarding to claims 9: The picture receiver of claim 1, where Fig. 7, 8 of Yoshida illustrate the image of the body and the keypad similar to those of the remote control hand unit 10 of Fig. 2, as a remote controller operation guide. Additionally, Yoshida discloses the remote controller operation guide includes a first information (i.e. information key) and a second information (i.e. one of multiple functional descriptions of keys on the remote control as is illustrated on Table-1) about the remote controller, the first information indicates a first key of the remote

controller (i.e. key [41]), the second information indicates a second key of the remote controller (i.e. TV/Video key); (Yoshida, col. 6 lines 14-65)

However, Srinivas and Yoshida fail to disclose when the first key is pressed, the recommended program is displayed and the currently viewed program is not displayed and when the second key is pressed, the currently viewed program is displayed and the recommended program is not displayed.

In an analogous art directed toward a similar problem namely improving the results from when the first key is pressed, the recommended program is displayed and the currently viewed program is not displayed, and when the second key is pressed, the currently viewed program is displayed in full screen and the recommended program is not displayed. Yuen discloses a remote control functional key pad of remote control [26] as displayed in Fig. 2 (¶0024); when the first key (i.e. GUIDE/TV key [28]) is pressed, PIP chip turn ON, the recommended program (i.e. highlighted EPG channel [60]) is displayed on PIP window [50] of display [24], i.e. ABC channel 7 as illustrated in Fig. 3) and the currently viewed program (i.e. previous PBS channel 28 is displayed in full screen when GUIDE/TV key is not pressed and EPG information are displayed on listing area [58] is not displayed on TV screen window of display [24]. (Yuen, ¶0028-¶0029)

when the second key is pressed (i.e. PIP key [63] is pressed while GUIDE/ TV key operated, PIP chip turn off is well-known in the art), the currently viewed program is displayed in full screen (i.e. program source is Tuner [12] is selected by switch [14])

and the recommended program is not displayed as illustrated in Fig. 12A-12B. (Yuen, ¶0037). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify a remote controller operation guide of Yoshida on the picture displaying unit for displaying the recommended program of Srinivas including the functional key pads as taught by Yuen to provide a viewer with the capability to control which program is displayed in the PIP window in the guide mode in the same manner the viewer would in the normal television viewing mode. This includes switching between tuned channels or between the outputs of the tuner and the VCR. (Yuen, ¶0006)

Regarding to claims 11: The program recommending method of claim 4, merely repeats the same limitations of claim 9; therefore, claim 11 is rejected on the same reason as discussed in claim 9.

5. Claims 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Srinivas et al.; Reynolds et al., Yoshida and Yuen, further in view of US Pub.2002/0129362 by Chang et al.

Regarding to claims 10: The picture receiver of claim 9, Srinivas, Reynolds, Yoshida and Yuen teach wherein the picture displaying unit shifts a mode from a two-picture display wherein the recommended program and the currently viewed program are displayed to a one-picture display of the currently viewed program when the first key or the second key is pressed. However, Srinivas, Reynolds, Yoshida and Yuen are missing when either the first key or the second key is not pressed for a predetermined time, the picture displaying unit shifts a mode from a two-picture display wherein the

Application/Control Number: 10/553,613

Art Unit: 2427

recommended program and the currently viewed program are displayed to a one-picture display of the currently viewed program.

Page 9

In an analogous art directed toward a similar problem namely improving the results from when either the first key or the second key is not pressed for a predetermined time the picture displaying unit shifts a mode from a two-picture display to a onepicture display. Fig. 5 of Chang illustrates a dynamic commercial selection procedure in accordance with the invention which allows the user to select between commercials during a commercial time slot, without changing the television channel. Main commercial 602 plays with corresponding audio, whereas alternative commercials 604, 606 superimposed in smaller windows (PIP) with the main commercial play without sound as shown in Fig. 6, the selected commercial is displayed on the entire screen; the PIP windows may be caused to disappear for a predetermined time. The system can also be designed such that if no PIP window is selected within a predetermined time, such as 10 seconds, the PIP windows disappear. (Chang, ¶0057; ¶0058) meets the limitation of when either the first key or the second key is not pressed for a predetermined time the picture displaying unit shifts a mode from a two-picture display to a one-picture display. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify the picture displaying unit for displaying the currently viewed program, the recommended program and the functional key pads of a remote controller operation guide as taught by Srinivas, Reynolds, Yoshida and Yuen including automatic shift mode as taught by Chang in

order to allow the selected commercial is played during the detected commercial slot as a substitute for the commercial broadcast on the television channel.(Abstract)

Regarding to claims 12: merely repeats the same limitation of claim 10, claim 12 is rejected on the same ground as discussed in claim 10 by combination of Srinivas Reynolds, Yoshida, Yuen and Chang.

Conclusion

1. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALAN LUONG whose telephone number is (571)270-5091. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs., 8:00am-5pm EST.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,613 Page 11

Art Unit: 2427

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Scott Beliveau can be reached on (571) 272-7343. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ALAN LUONG/ Examiner, Art Unit 2427

/Scott Beliveau/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2427